

# Response of rice crop plant to nitrogen management in different geographical regions in Northern Iran

Seyyed Mohammad Jafari Kelarijani<sup>1</sup>, Davood Barari Tari<sup>1\*</sup>, Yosoof Niknejad<sup>1</sup>, Hormoz Fallah<sup>1</sup>, Ebrahim Amiri<sup>2</sup>

Kelarijani SMJ, Tari DB, Niknejad Y, et al. Response of rice crop plant to nitrogen management in in different geographical regions in Northern Iran). *AGBIR*. 2021;37(3):143-150.

The experiment was conducted to investigate the response of rice crop plant to nitrogen management in in different geographical regions in northern Iran as factorial based on a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications in Mazandaran province (Band pay Babol and Babol Plain) and Guilan province (Lahijan region) during 2019 and 2020. Three levels of nitrogen fertilizer including 50, 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> from urea sources were used as main plots and three levels of nitrogen splitting in basal applied, initial heading stage and full heading stage were used as sub plots. The findings revealed that grain yield in Lahijan region (6044 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was 6.57% and 5.53% lower than Band pay region and Babul Plain.

With increase of 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length, number of panicle per hill, number of spikelet per panicle, filled spikelet percentage, grain nitrogen uptake, protein yield and nitrogen harvest index (0.77% and 2.44%, respectively) were significantly enhanced which resulted in enhance of grain yield (24.87% and 12.71%, respectively). GY with application of 50, 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was 5602, 6314 and 6995 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In contrast, nitrogen utilization efficiency (108.21% and 30.37%, respectively) and nitrogen uptake efficiency (26.02% and 16.53%, respectively) were significantly decreased. Therefore, nitrogen management in the paddy field could be an effective approach to enhance performance of rice and nitrogen utilization efficiency is a major objective of future.

**Key Words:** *Geographical regions, Nitrogen harvest index, Nitrogen utilization efficiency, Nitrogen uptake*

## INTRODUCTION

Rice is known as staple food sources of the world's, especially in Iran [1, 2]. The world rice cultivation area has increased from 145 million ha to over 161.1 million ha during the 1995 and 2017 [3]. Iran has 550 thousand hectares of paddy field and two million tons of white rice production, has a 0.4% share in rice production and cultivation area in the world, most of which (about 75%) is located in the northern strip, i.e. the provinces of Guilan, Mazandaran and Golestan, and the remaining (25%) of the paddy field is located in other 13 provinces with different climates [4].

Nitrogen (N) is the most essential for plant development, growth and grain quality [5]. However, in developed economies, N use efficiency (NUE; defined as grain dry matter per unit of N available from the soil, fertilizer included), is very low and estimated to be approximately 33% of the applied N source [6]. Thus, in Asia, Europe, and northern America, intensive agricultural practices Singh [7], have led to both higher production costs and greater risk from environmental hazards, such as ground and surface water pollution by nitrate leaching [8]. The main challenge for breeders is to minimize the applied amount of fertilizer N to the field without affecting yield, and also in selecting the cultivars that metabolize N more effectively. The average fertilizer N dose in China is roughly 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for the production of rice, which is 75% higher than the worldwide average [9]. Application of around 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> is also practicing in different regions of China [10]. Because of the significance of nitrogen as a major nutrient for rice crop to attain high grain yield, it is crucial to determine the ideal amount and timing of N application for each rice cultivars and also the impact on agronomic parameters, for example, moisture content, plant height, lodging and other parameters [11]. Therefore, many researchers have identified different fertilization scheduling techniques to achieve the maximum N use efficiency in rice fields [12]. Subsequently, nearly each farmer supply N to get high yields Pang et al. [11] in one single split or up to four splits during crop growth critical stages neglecting crop N demand and temporal changes [13]. However, fertilizer application can increase the rice yield matching the indigenous N supply [14]. N splits with basal at panicle initiation irrespective of N rates can increase yield [15]. A study has been conducted by Prasad et al. [16], revealed that four split (basal, tillage, panicle initiation and heading) limited the nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) leaching loss from rice fields. The adjusted splits could result in a reduction of fertilizer N input [17]; splitting N can increase

spikelet's per panicle, 1000-grain weight, ripened grain percentage and N uptake [18]. However, an increasing trend has been shown in N application doses in rice fields of China [19]. Therefore, the aims of the study were field trial evidence of nitrogen to improve rice growth and nitrogen efficiency indices in different geographical regions in northern Iran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Description of the experimental site

Field experiments were conducted in Babul region (in the central part of Mazandaran province) and Lahijan region (in the eastern part of Guilan province) located in north of Iran between the Alborz Mountains and the Caspian Sea during the periods of 2019 and 2020. The most important climatic parameters during the rice growing period are shown in Table 1. The climate of these regions is Mediterranean with different altitude above sea levels. The soil properties and geographical coordinates of three experimental regions are shown in Table 1.

### Description of the experiment

The experiment was conducted as factorial based on a randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) with three replications in three regions (Band pay of Babul in Mazandaran province, Babol Plain in Mazandaran province and Lahijan region in Guilan province). Three levels of nitrogen fertilizer including 50, 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> from urea sources were used as main plots and three levels of nitrogen splitting including S1: 50% as a basal application +50% in initial heading stage, S2: 33.33% as a basal application +66.66% in initial heading stage, and S3: 66.66% as a basal application + 33.33% in initial heading stage were used as sub plots.

### Description of the field practices

Nursery preparations were done in the first and second years in three regions on April 11-15 and on April 12-15, respectively. To prevent nitrogen leaching and weed growth in paddy fields, nylon plastic cover was put at the borders to the depth of 30 centimeters of each plot. The size of main plots was 9 × 5 m<sup>2</sup> and the size of sub plots was 3 × 5 m<sup>2</sup>.

Considering the regional climates, 'Tarom Hashemi' cultivar was

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Department of Water Engineering, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran

Correspondence: Davood Barari Tari, Department of Agronomy, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran, E-mail: davoodbarari@yahoo.com

Received: April 22, 2021, Accepted: May 06, 2021, Published: May 13, 2021



This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (CC BY-NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits reuse, distribution and reproduction of the article, provided that the original work is properly cited and the reuse is restricted to noncommercial purposes. For commercial reuse, contact reprints@pulsus.com

transplanted. Seedlings were prepared by the traditional method (furrow and basin); transplanting (spacing of 20 × 20 cm<sup>2</sup> equals 25 seedlings per m<sup>2</sup>) was done by two young seedlings per hill with 3-4 leaves (25 days old). Transplanting was done during the first and second years in three regions on May 22-26 and on May 24-27, respectively. Flooding + interval irrigation was done with two steps drainage during the maximum of tillering (initial heading stage) and full heading stage in the period of growing season. The depth of irrigation water was set at five centimeters according to agricultural principles of rice farming.

Chemical fertilizers were used in each plot according to the suggestion of Rice Research Institute of Iran (RRII) and by considering the result of soil analysis. Chemical fertilizers were used from urea sources (according to treatment situation) for nitrogen; followed by using the triple super phosphate (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for phosphorus; and potassium sulfate (100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for potassium. Total phosphorus fertilizer and 50% of the potassium fertilizers were used as basal application in the paddy field preparation stage. In addition, 25% of potassium fertilizers were used as top-dressing in panicle initiation stage. To control weed, weedicide was applied once pre-emergence and, hand weeding was done at third steps (28, 40 and 50 days after transplanting). Pesticides were used to control the pests and diseases. Crop protection practices, such as irrigation, weeding, pests and diseases control, and fertilization, were done in the experiment paddy field based on technical instruction of RRII. Other agricultural practices and field management were done according to the Standard Evaluation System (SES) of the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).

**Measurement**

During the growth period, after the removal of marginal effect, traits were randomly measured according to SES of IRRI. Thus, 10 tillers per hill were randomly selected from each experimental plot and, their average was analyzed.

Sampling was done 30 days after full heading stage from 12 stems selected from 4 hills per plot in order to determine the morphological traits. The number of tillers per hill was counted using 12 tillers per plant. The number of spikelet per panicle and the number of filled spikelet per panicle were measured by counting from 15 panicles. Paddy yield was measured by harvesting hills from four square meters in the middle part of each plot based on moisture of 12%. Finally, the shoot and grain were separated at the harvesting stage and, the material was dried in an oven at 70°C to a constant weight [20]. Total nitrogen content in grain and straw was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl method.

To determine the grain protein content, the percentage of nitrogen calculated in the protein conversion factor (5.95) was multiplied [21]. The protein yield was obtained from the multiplication of the grain protein content to the amount of rice grain yield [22]. Some parameters were evaluated such as nitrogen harvest index (Eq. 1), nitrogen utilization efficiency (Eq. 2), and nitrogen uptake efficiency (Eq. 3), [20, 22].

$$NHI (\%) = (\text{uptake of N in grain} / (\text{uptake of N in grain} + \text{uptake of N in straw})) \times 100$$

$$NUE (\text{kg kg}^{-1}) = Wg / NF \quad [2]$$

Where, Wg is the grain weight (kg) and NF is the quantity of N applied (kg).

$$NUtE (\text{kg kg}^{-1}) = NT / Nf \quad [3]$$

Where, NT is total N uptake in grain (kg) and NF is the quantity of N applied (kg).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used by the GLM procedure and, the least significant difference (LSD) test was used to compare the differences between the treatment means at a 5% of probability level. Standard error (SE =  $\sqrt{\sum (O-P)^2 / n}$  where O, P and n are actual data, predicted data and sample size, respectively) was used to evaluate the confidence interval of the regression coefficients. The coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) and coefficient of variation (CV) were determined for testing the ability of the used mathematical models.

**RESULTS**

**Bartlett’s test**

To investigate the effect of nitrogen amounts and splitting on rice growth in different geographical regions over the two years, firstly the data were measured using the Bartlett’s test for homogeneity of the variance. The results of Bartlett’s test revealed significant differences for panicle length (PL), 1000-grain weight (TGW), and nitrogen uptake efficiency (NUtE). Therefore, the analysis and interpretation of these traits was performed by the simple mean square analysis (ANOVA) and, for other traits (shown in Table 2) which did not show any significant differences the combined variance analysis was used (Table 2).

**Analysis of variance (ANOVA)**

The results of the combined analysis of variance presented in Tables 3 and 4 demonstrated that, panicle length (PL), number of panicle per hill (PH), and number of spikelet per panicle (TSP) were statistically significant under the year effect (P ≤ 0.05; P ≤ 0.01). The findings of ANOVA revealed that, all the investigated traits (Tables 4 and 5) except straw nitrogen content (SNC) and nitrogen harvest index (NHI) revealed significant difference under the regions effect (P ≤ 0.05; P ≤ 0.01). The results of ANOVA for nitrogen amount showed that PL, PH, TS, TGW, grain yield (GY), SNC, grain nitrogen uptake (GNU), grain protein content (GPC), protein yield (PY), nitrogen harvest index (NHI), nitrogen utilization efficiency (NUE) and nitrogen uptake efficiency (NUtE) were statistically different (P ≤ 0.05; P ≤ 0.01). The difference of nitrogen splitting revealed statistically significant in PL, TSP, FSP, TGW, GY, GNU, GPC, PY, NHI, NUE and NUtE (P ≤ 0.05; P ≤ 0.01) (Tables 3 and 4). Findings of double interaction of nitrogen amount and splitting demonstrated that, PL, TSP, GY, SNC, GPC, NHI and NUE were statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05; P ≤ 0.01) (Tables 3 and 4).

**TABLE 1**  
**Description of the geographical coordinate, soil properties (0-30 cm) and meteorological parameters of three rice production sites prior to rice transplantation**

Description	Bandpay, Babol (Mazandaran province)	Babol Plain (Mazandaran province)	Lahijan (Guilan province)
Geographical coordinate	36°39'22.52"N 53°9'42.55"E	36°23'59.24"N 52°31'37.55"E	37°13'28.78"N 49°38'57.85"E
Soil properties	Bandpay, Babol (Mazandaran province)	Babol plain (Mazandaran province)	Lahijan (Guilan province)
Soil texture	Clay loam	Silt loam clay	Clay loam
EC (ds m <sup>-1</sup> )	0.93	0.91	0.94
pH	7.3	7.1	6.2
Organic matter (%)	2.12	2.21	2.24
Phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	11.87	13.12	12.11
Potassium (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	187	195	204

Response of rice crop plant to nitrogen management in different geographical regions in Northern Iran

Climatic parameters	Bandpay, Babol (Mazandaran province)		Babol plain (Mazandaran province)		Lahijan (Guilan province)	
	Experiment period	Mean 15 years	Experiment period	Mean 15 years	Experiment period	Mean 15 years
Minimum temperature (°C)	18.4	18.3	18.9	18.5	13.8	17.6
Maximum temperature (°C)	28.4	25.2	27.7	26.9	33.6	26.5
Mean temperature (°C)	23.4	22.8	23.3	32.2	22.3	22.1
Evaporation (mm)	143.7	147.6	109.5	120.8	99.6	121.4
Rain (mm)	52.5	89.0	50.8	93.4	77.7	60.7
Mean humidity (%)	74.7	73.5	75.8	77.5	78.7	78.0
Mean sunshine hours	221.1	208.8	187.6	182.7	186.2	213.9
Solar radiation (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	19.3	19.5	17.8	17.9	17.7	18.1

**TABLE 2**  
Bartlett test results for investigated traits under effect of year

Investigated traits	Unit	Pr>ChiSq	Chi-squares
Panicle length (PL)	cm	<0.0001**	18.93
Number of panicle per hill (PH)	no.	0.634 <sup>ns</sup>	0.23
Number of spikelet per panicle (TSP)	no.	0.498 <sup>ns</sup>	0.46
Filled spikelet percentage (FSP)	%	0.466 <sup>ns</sup>	0.531
1000-grain weight (TGW)	g	0.033*	4.53
Grain yield (GY)	kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.250 <sup>ns</sup>	1.33
Grain nitrogen content (GNC)	%	0.605 <sup>ns</sup>	0.266
Straw nitrogen content (SNC)	%	0.529 <sup>ns</sup>	0.396
Plant nitrogen content (PNC)	%	0.487 <sup>ns</sup>	0.483
Grain nitrogen uptake (GNU)	kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.313 <sup>ns</sup>	1.02
Grain protein content (GPC)	%	0.605 <sup>ns</sup>	0.268
Protein yield (PY)	kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0.313 <sup>ns</sup>	1.02
Nitrogen harvest index (NHI)	%	0.994 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0001
Nitrogen utilization efficiency (NUE)	kg kg <sup>-1</sup>	0.915 <sup>ns</sup>	0.011
Nitrogen uptake efficiency (NUE)	kg kg <sup>-1</sup>	0.0377*	4.32

†: ns, \* and \*\* show non-significant and significant at 5% and 1% of probability levels, respectively

**TABLE 3**  
Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean comparison of studied traits of rice related to application of nitrogen amount and splitting in three locations

SOV	DF	PL	PH	TSP	FSP	TGW	GY	0.0377*
Mean square (ANOVA)								
Year (Y)	1	*	**	**	ns	ns	ns	0.0377*
Location (L)		**	**	**	*	*	**	0.0377*
Nitrogen (N)	3	**	**	**	ns	*	*	0.0377*
Splitting (S)	3	**	ns	**	**	**	**	0.0377*
N × S	9	**	ns	**	ns	ns	**	0.0377*
CV (%)	-	16.48	9.55	3.25	7.14	9.25	8.05	0.0377*
Mean comparison								
Year	First year (2017)		28.04 <sup>a</sup>	17.11 <sup>a</sup>	155.79 <sup>a</sup>	77.62 <sup>a</sup>	28.18 <sup>a</sup>	6291 <sup>a</sup>
	Second year (2018)		26.47 <sup>b</sup>	16.27 <sup>b</sup>	151.02 <sup>b</sup>	76.71 <sup>a</sup>	28.08 <sup>a</sup>	6316 <sup>a</sup>
LSD 0.05			1.40	0.50	1.56	1.72	0.81	158.27
Location	Babol		28.30 <sup>a</sup>	17.37 <sup>a</sup>	156 <sup>a</sup>	78.03 <sup>a</sup>	28.64 <sup>a</sup>	6469 <sup>a</sup>
	Babol		28.09 <sup>a</sup>	17.39 <sup>a</sup>	154.91 <sup>a</sup>	77.79 <sup>ab</sup>	28.39 <sup>a</sup>	6398 <sup>a</sup>
	Lahijan		25.38 <sup>b</sup>	15.33 <sup>b</sup>	149.30 <sup>b</sup>	75.75 <sup>b</sup>	27.36 <sup>b</sup>	6044 <sup>b</sup>

	LSD 0.05	1.72	0.61	1.91	2.11	0.99	193.84
Nitrogen	50 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	24.85 <sup>c</sup>	16.20 <sup>b</sup>	146.70 <sup>b</sup>	75.98 <sup>a</sup>	27.29 <sup>b</sup>	5602 <sup>c</sup>
	90 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	27.53 <sup>b</sup>	16.59 <sup>b</sup>	148.12 <sup>b</sup>	77.96 <sup>a</sup>	28.18 <sup>ab</sup>	6314 <sup>b</sup>
	130 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	29.39 <sup>a</sup>	17.30 <sup>a</sup>	165.39 <sup>a</sup>	77.62 <sup>a</sup>	28.93 <sup>a</sup>	6995 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD 0.05	1.72	0.61	1.91	2.11	0.99	193.84
Splitting	S1	28.91 <sup>a</sup>	16.76 <sup>ab</sup>	150.55 <sup>b</sup>	75.22 <sup>b</sup>	26.82 <sup>c</sup>	5837 <sup>b</sup>
	S2	26.94 <sup>b</sup>	16.97 <sup>a</sup>	154.29 <sup>a</sup>	77.01 <sup>b</sup>	28.14 <sup>b</sup>	6455 <sup>a</sup>
	S3	25.92 <sup>b</sup>	16.35 <sup>b</sup>	155.37 <sup>a</sup>	79.33 <sup>a</sup>	29.43 <sup>a</sup>	6619 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD 0.05	1.72	0.61	1.91	2.11	0.99	193.84

†: ns, \* and \*\* show non-significant and significant at P ≥ 0.05 and P ≥ 0.01, respectively.

††: Values within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different at least significant differences (LSD) test (0.05). SOV, source of variation; DF, degree of freedom; and CV is the coefficient of variation which was related to overall data.

†††: Refer to Table 2 for description of abbreviation and unit of traits.

††††: Value in the parenthesis indicates percent change in the respective studied traits in comparison with the control condition.

†††††: S1 is 50% as a basal application + 50% in initial heading stage; S2 is 33.33% as a basal application + 66.66% in initial heading stage, and S3 is 66.66% as a basal application + 33.33% in initial heading stage, respectively.

**TABLE 4**

**Combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean comparison of studied traits of rice related to application of nitrogen amount and splitting in three locations**

SOV	DF	SNC	GNU	GPC	PY	NHI	NUE	NUtE	1.72
<b>Mean square (ANOVA)</b>									
Year (Y)		1	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Location (L)			ns	**	**	**	ns	**	**
Nitrogen (N)		3	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Splitting (S)		3	ns	**	**	**	**	**	**
N × S		9	**	ns	*	ns	**	**	ns
CV (%)		-	8.66	15.51	9.59	15.51	6.06	8.86	14.08
<b>Mean comparison</b>									
Year	First year (2017)		1.28 <sup>a</sup>	610.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.96 <sup>a</sup>	381.32 <sup>a</sup>	42.56 <sup>a</sup>	78.65 <sup>a</sup>	6.95 <sup>a</sup>
	Second year (2018)		1.26 <sup>a</sup>	608.68 <sup>a</sup>	5.88 <sup>a</sup>	380.42 <sup>a</sup>	42.62 <sup>a</sup>	78.68 <sup>a</sup>	7.00 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD 0.05		0.03	29.48	0.18	184.28	0.80	2.17	0.31
Location	Babol		1.29 <sup>a</sup>	636.72 <sup>a</sup>	6.04 <sup>a</sup>	397.95 <sup>a</sup>	42.68 <sup>a</sup>	80.74 <sup>a</sup>	7.36 <sup>a</sup>
	Babol		1.27 <sup>ab</sup>	628.55 <sup>a</sup>	6.03 <sup>a</sup>	392.84 <sup>a</sup>	42.99 <sup>a</sup>	79.92 <sup>a</sup>	7.10 <sup>a</sup>
	Lahijan		1.24 <sup>b</sup>	562.93 <sup>b</sup>	5.69 <sup>b</sup>	351.83 <sup>b</sup>	42.09 <sup>a</sup>	75.34 <sup>b</sup>	6.47 <sup>b</sup>
	LSD 0.05		0.04	36.11	0.22	22.57	0.99	2.66	0.38
Nitrogen	50 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>		0.98 <sup>c</sup>	393.38 <sup>c</sup>	4.36 <sup>c</sup>	245.86 <sup>c</sup>	41.52 <sup>b</sup>	112.04 <sup>a</sup>	7.70 <sup>a</sup>
	90 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>		1.39 <sup>b</sup>	640.59 <sup>b</sup>	6.33 <sup>b</sup>	400.37 <sup>b</sup>	42.29 <sup>b</sup>	70.15 <sup>b</sup>	7.12 <sup>b</sup>
	130 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>		1.44 <sup>a</sup>	794.22 <sup>a</sup>	7.07 <sup>a</sup>	496.39 <sup>a</sup>	43.96 <sup>a</sup>	53.81 <sup>c</sup>	6.11 <sup>c</sup>
	LSD 0.05		0.04	36.11	0.22	22.57	0.99	2.66	0.38
Splitting	S1		1.25 <sup>b</sup>	556.84 <sup>b</sup>	5.84 <sup>b</sup>	348.03 <sup>b</sup>	42.60 <sup>b</sup>	73.11 <sup>b</sup>	6.32 <sup>b</sup>
	S2		1.24 <sup>b</sup>	645.23 <sup>a</sup>	6.17 <sup>a</sup>	403.27 <sup>a</sup>	44.08 <sup>a</sup>	81.49 <sup>a</sup>	7.44 <sup>a</sup>
	S3		1.32 <sup>a</sup>	626.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.76 <sup>b</sup>	391.32 <sup>a</sup>	41.08 <sup>c</sup>	81.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.16 <sup>a</sup>
	LSD 0.05		0.04	36.11	0.22	22.57	0.99	2.66	0.38

†: ns, \* and \*\* show non-significant and significant at P ≥ 0.05 and P ≥ 0.01, respectively.

††: Values within a column followed by same letter are not significantly different at least significant differences (LSD) test (0.05). SOV, source of variation; DF, degree of freedom; and CV is the coefficient of variation which was related to overall data.

†††: Refer to Table 2 for description of abbreviation and unit of traits.

††††: Value in the parenthesis indicate percent change in the respective studied traits in comparison with the control condition.

†††††: S1 is 50% as a basal application + 50% in initial heading stage; S2 is 33.33% as a basal application + 66.66% in initial heading stage, and S3 is 66.66% as a basal application + 33.33% in initial heading stage, respectively.

Mean comparison

Agronomic traits and grain yield: Findings of agronomic traits revealed that, PL, PH and TSP in first year were 5.93%, 5.16%, and 3.16% higher than second year. Mean comparison of different geographical regions showed that GY in Lahijan region (6044 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly 6.57% and 5.53% lower than Band pay region and Babol Plain. PL in Band pay region (28.30 cm) and Babol Plain (28.09 cm) was 11.51% and 10.68% higher than Lahijan region (25.38 cm). According to findings, PH, TSP, FSP and TGW in Lahijan region was statistically lower than Band pay region and Babol Plain. TSP in Band pay region (156 spikelet's) and Babol Plain (154.91 spikelet's) was 4.49% 3.76% higher than Lahijan region (149.30 spikelet's). These findings leading to an increase in PY in Band pay region and Babol Plain compared to Lahijan region (Table 3).

Mean comparison of nitrogen amount demonstrated that all investigated traits enhanced significantly with increase of nitrogen amount. For instance, with increase of 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, PL (1.18% and 1.11%, respectively), PH (6.19% and 2.41%, respectively), TSP (12.74% and 0.97%, respectively), FSP (1.64% and 1.98%, respectively), and TGW (6.01% and 3.26%, respectively) were significantly enhanced which resulted in enhance of PY (24.87% and 12.71%, respectively). GY with application of 50, 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was 5602, 6314 and 6995 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 3). Mean comparison of nitrogen splitting displayed that the maximum amounts of TSP (154.29 and 155.37 spikelets) and GY (6455 and 6619 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were calculated in S<sub>2</sub><sup>1</sup> and S<sub>3</sub><sup>1</sup>. In contrast, the maximum PL (28.91 cm) was observed in S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>: 50% as a basal application + 50% in initial heading stage but maximum PH was obtained in S<sub>2</sub>. The most amount of FSP (79.33%) and TGW (29.43 g) was calculated in S<sub>3</sub> (Table 3).

Linear regression model for agronomic traits (dependent traits) and GY (independent trait) of rice related to nitrogen amounts and splitting in different geographical regions in two years showed positive correlation between GY with PL, TSP and FSP (Figure 1). Findings of nitrogen related parameters displayed that, all investigated traits related to nitrogen (SNC, GNU, GPC, PY, NHI, NUE and NUtE) were same under the effect of year (Table 4). Mean comparison of different geographical regions revealed that

all investigated traits related to N in Mazandaran province (Band pay region and Babol Plain) were significantly higher than Guilan province (Lahijan region). For instance, SNC in Band pay region and Babol Plain (1.29 cm and 1.27 cm) was 4.03% and 2.42% higher than Lahijan region (1.24 cm). GNU in Band pay region (636.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Babol Plain (628.55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was 13.11% and 11.66% higher than Lahijan region (628.55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, GPC in Lahijan region (5.69%) was 0.35% and 0.34% less than Band pay region (6.04%) and Babol Plain (6.03%). According to findings, NUE in Band pay region (80.74 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Babol Plain (79.92 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was 7.17% and 6.08% more than Lahijan region (75.34 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, NUtE in Band pay region and Babol Plain (7.36 and 7.10 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was 13.76% and 9.74% greater than Lahijan region (6.47 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 4).

Mean comparison of nitrogen amount for N related parameters displayed that with increase of 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, SNC (0.41% and 0.46%, respectively), GNU (62.84% and 101.90%, respectively), GPC (45.18% and 62.16%, respectively), PY (62.84% and 101.90%, respectively), and NHI (0.77% and 2.44%, respectively) were significantly enhanced. In contrast, NUE (108.21% and 30.37%, respectively) and NUtE (26.02% and 16.53%, respectively) were significantly decreased (Table 4). Mean comparison of nitrogen splitting revealed that the maximum amounts of SNC (1.32%) observed in S<sub>3</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>: 66.66% as a basal application + 33.33% in initial heading stage. In contrast, the maximum GPC (6.17%) and NHI (44.08%) were calculated in S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>: 33.33% as a basal application + 66.66% in initial heading stage but maximum GNU (645.23 and 626.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), PY (403.27 and 391.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), NUE (81.49 and 81.40 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and NUtE (7.44 and 7.16 kg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained in S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> (Table 4).

Linear regression model of N related parameters demonstrated that SNC, GPC, NUtE, GNU, PY and NHI had a positive correlation with GY (Figures 2,4), but NUE had a negative correlation with GY (Figure 4). Linear regression model of N related indices showed that, NUE had a negative correlation with NHI, but positive correlation between NUE and NUtE was observed (Figure 5). According to findings of linear regression in Figure 6, correlation between NHI and NUtE was positive, but correlation between NHI and NUE was negative. In contrast, NUtE had a positive correlation with NHI and NUE (Figure 7).




Figure 1) Linear regression model between panicle length (PL), number of spikelet per panicle (TSP) and filled spikelet percentage (FSP) with grain yield (GY) of rice related to nitrogen amount and splitting in different geographical regions








Figure 2) Linear regression model between straw nitrogen content (SNC), grain protein content (GPC) and nitrogen uptake efficiency (NUtE) with grain yield (GY) of rice related to nitrogen amount and splitting in different geographical regions






Figure 7) Linear regression model between nitrogen harvest index (NHI) and nitrogen utilization efficiency (NUE) with grain yield (GY) of rice related to nitrogen amount and splitting in different geographical regions

### DISCUSSION

With respect to chemical nitrogen application in paddy fields, current nitrogen application strategies should be improved in paddy field cropping systems. Nitrogen significantly improved plant height, panicle length, grain HI and nitrogen HI which is positively associated with paddy yield. Similar results were found by [22, 23]. However, without nitrogen fertilization, rapeseed yield in rice-rapeseed rotation was significantly lower than in cotton-rapeseed rotation. Similar findings were reported for other crops [24]. Other researchers demonstrated that because of higher nitrogen losses from runoff and ammonia volatilization during the rice season [25], the flooded rice production soil contained significantly lower accumulated mineral nitrogen contents after harvest [26]. Mohammadi et al. [27] demonstrated that nitrogen uptake in the green manure utilization was one of the most effective factors in increasing the crop production. Further, crop rotation led to improved soil fertility [28]. In addition, many explanations have been stated for yield enhancement in crop plants rotation [29].

Number of panicle per plant is one of the major yield determining factors of rice [30]. In this study, PL and PH were significantly enhanced with increase of N amounts. In fact, N contributes to rice panicle formation by stimulating cell division in the reproductive stage of crop growth [30]. Gewaily et al. [31] reported that N fertilization enhanced PL and panicle number of rice. The findings displayed that PH enhanced with increase of nitrogen rates in different geographical regions. Iahan et al. [30] announced that number of tiller per m<sup>2</sup> had a linear correlation with an application. However, in another study, quadratic correlation rice tillering to N rates was reported [32]. Iahan et al. [30] revealed that filled grains per panicle were significantly affected by N rates which adopted with our findings. Yesuf and Balcha [33] reported that enhance of number of filled grain of rice with increase of N application. The results of this study showed that GY enhanced with increase of N rates. More et al. [34] displayed that quadratic response of GY to N fertilization which supports our findings and the results of Jahan et al. [30]. The findings of our study displayed that in three regions GNU of rice crop enhanced with increase of N rates which resulted in PY. Jahan et al. [30] and Yesuf and Balcha [33] also demonstrated similar results.

### CONCLUSION

According on the findings, it can be stated that GY in Lahijan region (6044 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly 6.57% and 5.53% lower than Band pay region and Babol Plain. TSP in Band pay region and Babol Plain was 4.49% 3.76% higher than Lahijan region. These findings leading to an increase in PY in Band pay region and Babol Plain compared to Lahijan region. All investigated traits enhanced significantly with increase of nitrogen amount. For instance, with increase of 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, PL, PH, TSP, FSP, and TGW were significantly enhanced which resulted in enhance of PY (24.87% and 12.71%, respectively). Findings of nitrogen related parameters displayed that, all investigated traits related to N in Mazandaran province (Band pay region and Babol Plain) were significantly higher than Guilan province (Lahjan region). With increase of 90 and 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, SNC, GNU, GPC, PY, and NHI (0.77% and 2.44%, respectively) were significantly enhanced. In contrast, NUE (108.21% and 30.37%, respectively) and NUtE (26.02% and 16.53%, respectively) were

significantly decreased. Therefore, nitrogen management in the paddy field could be an effective approach to enhance performance of rice and nitrogen utilization efficiency is a major objective of future.

### REFERENCES

1. Dastan S, Ghareyazie B, da Silva JA, et al. Selection of ideotype to increase yield potential of GM and non-GM rice cultivars J Plant Sci. 2020;297:110519.
2. Pishgar-Komleh SH, Sefeedpari P, Rafiee S, et al. Energy and economic analysis of rice production under different farm levels in Guilan province of Iran. J Energy. 2011;36(10):5824-31.
3. Faostat FA. Food and agriculture data. Food and agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2015.
4. Habib E, Niknezhad Y, Barari Tari D, et al. Estimation of Yield Gap of Rice by Comparative Performance Analysis (CPA) in Amol and Rasht Regions. J Plant Prod. 2019;42(4):551-62.
5. Kichey T, Hirel B, Heumez E, et al. In winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), post-anthesis nitrogen uptake and remobilisation to the grain correlates with agronomic traits and nitrogen physiological markers. J Field Crops Res. 2007;102(1):22-32.
6. Raun WR, Johnson GV. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. Agron J. 1999;91(3):357-63.
7. Singh U. Integrated nitrogen fertilization for intensive and sustainable agriculture. J Crop Improv. 2006;15(2):259-88.
8. Qian X, Shen G, Hong Z, et al. Effect of swine liquid manure application in paddy field on water quality, soil fertility and crop yields. J Paddy water Environ. 2018;16(1):15-22.
9. Peng SB, Buresh R, Witt C, et al. Challenge and opportunity in improving fertilizer-nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated rice in China. J ASC 2002;1(7):776-85.
10. Peng S, Buresh RJ, Cui K, et al. Improving nitrogen fertilization in rice by site-specific N management. J Sustain Agric. 2011; 2:943-952.
11. Shrawat AK, Carroll RT, DePauw M, et al. Genetic engineering of improved nitrogen use efficiency in rice by the tissue-specific expression of alanine aminotransferase. J Plant Bioinform Biotech. 2008;6(7):722-32.
12. Deng F, Wang L, Ren WJ, et al. Enhancing nitrogen utilization and soil nitrogen balance in paddy fields by optimizing nitrogen management and using polyaspartic acid urea. Field Crops Res. 2014;169:30-8.
13. Jing Q, Bouman BA, Hengsdijk H, et al. Exploring options to combine high yields with high nitrogen use efficiencies in irrigated rice in China. Eur J Agron. 2007;26(2):166-77.
14. Singh B, Singh Y, Ladha JK, et al. Chlorophyll meter- and leaf color chart-based nitrogen management for rice and wheat in North-western India. J Argon. 2001; 94(4):821-9.
15. Sathiya K, Ramesh T. Effect of split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of aerobic rice. Asian J Exp Sci. 2009;23(1):303-6.

16. Prasad LR, Mailapalli DR. Evaluation of nitrogen fertilization patterns using DSSAT for enhancing grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency in rice. *J Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2018;49(12):1401-17.
17. Jeong H, Jang T, Seong C, et al. Assessing nitrogen fertilizer rates and split applications using the DSSAT model for rice irrigated with urban waste water. *J Agric Water Manag.* 2014;141:1-9.
18. Pan SG, Huang SQ, Jing Z, et al. Effects of N management on yield and N uptake of rice in central China *J Integr Agric.* 2012;11(12):1993-2000.
19. Li H, Zhang W, Zhang F, et al. Analysis of the changes in chemical fertilizer use and efficiency of the main grain crops in China. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci.* 2010; 16(5):1136-43.
20. Fageria NK, Dos Santos AB, De Moraes MF, et al. Yield, potassium uptake, and use efficiency in upland rice genotypes. *J Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2010;41(22):2676-84.
21. Firestone DE. Nitrogen-ammonia-protein modified Kjeldahl method-titanium oxide copper sulphate catalyst: Official methods and recommended practices of the AOCS. AOCS Official Method Ba Ai Press, Champaign, IL. 1997:4-91.
22. Fageria NK, Moreira A, Coelho AM, et al. Yield and yield components of upland rice as influenced by nitrogen sources. *J Plant Nutr.* 2011;34(3):361-70.
23. Fageria NK. Yield physiology of rice. *J Plant Nutri.* 2007;30(6):843-79.
24. Yamada T, Katsuta M, Sugiura M, et al. Dry matter productivity of high biomass sugarcane in upland and paddy fields in the Kanto region of Japan. *J ARQ.* 2010;44(3):269-76.
25. Zhao X, Xie YX, Xiong ZQ, et al. Nitrogen fate and environmental consequence in paddy soil under rice-wheat rotation in the Taihu lake region. *J Plant Soil.* 2009;319(1):225-34.
26. Fan M, Lu S, Jiang R, et al. Nitrogen input, 15 N balance and mineral N dynamics in a rice-wheat rotation in southwest China *J Nutr Cycl. Agroecosystems.* 2007;79(3):255-65.
27. Mohammadi K, Ghalavand A, Aghaalikhani M, et al. Effect of different methods of crop rotation and fertilization on canola traits and soil microbial activity. *Aust J Crop Sci.* 2011;5(10):1261.
28. Ladha JK, Khind CS, Gupta RK, et al. Long-term effects of organic inputs on yield and soil fertility in the rice-wheat rotation. *J Soil Sci Soc Am.* 2004;68(3):845-53.
29. Rathke GW, Christen O, Diepenbrock W, et al. Effects of nitrogen source and rate on productivity and quality of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus L.*) grown in different crop rotations. *J Field Crops Res.* 2005;94(2-3):103-13.
30. Jahan A, Islam A, Sarkar MI, et al. Nitrogen response of two high yielding rice varieties as influenced by nitrogen levels and growing seasons. *J Earth Sciences.* 2020; 5(2):1-8.
31. Ghoneim AM, Gewaily EE, Osman MM, et al. Effects of nitrogen levels on growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of some newly released Egyptian rice genotypes. *J Open Agric.* 2018;3(1):310-8.
32. Sandoval-Contreras HA, Ribeiro-Barzan R, Sandoval-Contreras M, et al. Rodrigues-Brito O. Growth, yield and agronomic efficiency of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) cv. IAPAR 117 affected by nitrogen rates and sources. *J Impact Factor.* 2017;66(4):558-65.
33. Yesuf E, Balcha A. Effect of nitrogen application on grain yield and nitrogen efficiency of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *Asian J Crop Sci.* 2014;6(3):273-80.
34. Moro BM, Nuhu IR, Ato E, et al. Effect of nitrogen rates on the growth and yield of three rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) varieties in rain-fed lowland in the forest agro-ecological zone of Ghana. *J Agric Sci.* 2015; 5(7):878-85.